



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/585,793

07/12/2006

Masahide Shima

03200PCT

6046

23165 7590 04/17/2009  
ROBERT J JACOBSON PA  
650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH  
ST PAUL, MN 551161511

EXAMINER

VALENROD, YEVGENY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/17/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/585,793	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHIMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> YEVEGENY VALENROD	<b>Art Unit</b> 1621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD. (3) Robert Jacobson.

(2) Paul Zucker. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,525,217.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Possible amendments to the claims that would overcome the rejection of record were discussed. Although no agreement was reached various aspects of the invention found in the instant specification that could be utilized to further narrow the breadth of the pending claims were identified.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paul A. Zucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621	
---	--